

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/032,214	PUNNONEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending.

(1) ILIA OUSPENSKI.

(3) _____.

(2) MARGARET POWERS.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant provided authorization for Examiner's amendment as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ilia Ouspenski
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)